COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

RECEIVED

APR 26 2010

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

APPLICATION OF KENTUCKY UTILITIES)	
COMPANY FOR AN ADJUSTMENT OF)	CASE NO. 2009-00548
BASE RATES)	

ATTORNEY GENERAL'S PRE-FILED TESTIMONY

Comes now the intervenor, the Attorney General of the Commonwealth of Kentucky, by and through his Office of Rate Intervention, and files the following testimony in the above-styled matter.

Respectfully submitted, JACK CONWAY

ATTORNEY GENERAL

DÉNNIS G. HOWARD, II LAWRENCE W. COOK

PAUL D. ADAMS

ASSISTANT ATTORNEYS GENERAL

1024 CAPITAL CENTER DRIVE

SUITE 200

FRANKFORT KY 40601-8204

(502) 696-5453

FAX: (502) 573-8315

Certificate of Service and Filing

Counsel certifies that an original and ten photocopies of the foregoing were served and filed by hand delivery to Jeff Derouen, Executive Director, Public Service Commission, 211 Sower Boulevard, Frankfort, Kentucky 40601; counsel further states that true and accurate copies of the foregoing were mailed via First Class U.S. Mail, postage pre-paid, to:

Lonnie E Bellar E.ON U.S. LLC 220 West Main Street Louisville, KY 40202 Honorable Kendrick R Riggs Stoll Keenon Ogden, PLLC 2000 PNC Plaza 500 W Jefferson Street Louisville, KY 40202-2828

Honorable David C Brown, Esq. Stites & Harbison, PLLC 1800 Providian Center 400 West Market Street Louisville, KY 40202 James T Selecky BAI Consulting 16690 Swingley Ridge Road, Suite 140 Chesterfield, MO 63017

Honorable Frank F Chuppe Wyatt, Tarrant & Combs, LLP 500 West Jefferson Street Suite 2800 Louisville, KY 40202-2898 Honorable Gardner F Gillespie Hogan & Hartson, L.L.P. 555 Thirteenth Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20004-1109

Holly Rachel Smith Hitt Business Center 3803 Rectortown Road Marshall, VA 20115 Iris G Skidmore 415 W. Main Street, Suite 2 Frankfort, KY 40601

Honorable Allyson K Sturgeon E.ON U.S. LLC 220 West Main Street Louisville, KY 40202 Honorable Michael L Kurtz Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry 36 East Seventh Street Suite 1510 Cincinnati, OH 45202

Honorable Robert M Watt, III STOLL KEENON OGDEN PLLC 300 West Vine Street Suite 2100 Lexington, KY 40507-1801 Honorable Matthew R Malone Hurt, Crosbie & May PLLC The Equus Building 127 West Main Street Lexington, KY 40507 Carroll M Redford III Miller, Griffin & Marks, PSC 271 W Short Street, Suite 600 Lexington, KY 40507

Assistant Attorney General

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Ĭ'n	tha	Matter	of
ш	ine	iviaitei	OT

APPLICATION OF KENTUCKY)	PSC CASE NO.
UTILITIES COMPANY FOR AN)	2009-00548
ADJUSTMENT OF BASE RATES)	

Direct Testimony of **Michael J. Majoros, Jr.**

On Behalf of The Office of the Attorney General

I. <u>INTRODUCTION / SUMMARY</u>

Q: Please state your name, position, and business address.

A: My name is Michael J. Majoros, Jr. I am Vice President of Snavely King Majoros O'Connor & Bedell, Inc. (Snavely King), located at 1111 14TH Street, N.W., Suite 300, Washington, D.C. 20005.

Q: Describe Snavely King.

A:

Snavely King is an economic consulting firm, founded in 1970 to conduct research on a consulting basis into the rates, revenues, costs, and economic performance of regulated firms and industries. Our clients include government agencies, businesses, and individuals that purchase telecom, public utility and transportation services. In addition to consumer cost and anti-trust issues, we have provided our expertise in support of a clean environment and personal damages resulting from discrimination in agricultural programs. We believe in accountability, fair competition, and effective regulation.

The firm has a professional staff of 11 economists, accountants, engineers, and cost analysts. Most of our work involves the development, preparation, and presentation of expert witness testimony before Federal and state regulatory agencies. Over the course of our 40-year history, members of the firm have participated in more than 1,000 proceedings before almost all of the state commissions and all Federal commissions that regulate utilities or transportation industries.

Q: Have you prepared a summary of your qualifications and experience?

1	A:	Yes, Appendix A is a summary of my qualifications and experience. Appendix B
2		contains a tabulation of my appearances as an expert witness before state and
3		Federal regulatory agencies.
4	Q:	For whom are you appearing in this proceeding?
5	A:	I am appearing on behalf of the Kentucky Office of Attorney General (AG).
6	Q:	Do you have any specific experience in the public utility field?
7	A:	Yes, I have been in the field of public utility regulation since the late 1970s. My
8		testimony has encompassed numerous complex revenue requirement issues.
9		Furthermore, I and other members of my firm specialize in the field of public
10		utility depreciation. We have appeared as expert witnesses on this subject before
11		the regulatory commissions of almost every state in the country.
2	Q:	Does your experience specifically include electric and gas utilities?
13	A:	Yes, I have appeared as an expert in several electric and gas utility proceedings.
14		II. SUBJECT AND PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY
15	Q:	What is the subject of your testimony?
16	A:	This case involves the 2009 Application for Adjustment of Base Rates of
17		Kentucky Utilities Company ("KU"), a subsidiary of EON Corporation.
18	Q:	What is the purpose of your testimony?
19	A:	I have reviewed the Company's filing. Based upon my findings and the input of
20		others, I am recommending monetary adjustments to the KU's filed request.
21	KU'	S REQUEST FOR AN ADJUSTMENT OF ELECTRIC BASE RATES
22 23		Summary of KU's Filing
1	O:	Please summarize KU's filing.

A: KU filed a revenue requirement model reflecting a twelve month period ending
October 31, 2009. The Company proposes several adjustments to the test-period
book numbers on the rationale that they are "known and measurable and
therefore, reasonable." KU requested a \$135.3 million electric revenue increase.
KU states that it is under earning because its plant has increased since its last rate
case and its operation and maintenance costs have increased. KU provides
testimony of several witnesses to support its claim.

Q: What are the results of your review of KU's rate request?

A: We have propounded numerous data requests related to the Company's rate request, as well as reviewed those propounded by other intervenors. As a result of this review, and in combination with the recommendations of Attorney General Witnesses Woolridge and Watkins, I recommend that the Company's base rates be decreased by approximately \$13.0 million for electric, as shown on Exhibit MJM-1.

Rate of Return

Adjustment No. 1 - Capital Structure and Rate of Return

Q. What rate of return are you using to develop your recommended revenue requirements?

A. Dr. Woolridge has informed me that, based on his review and analysis, he recommends a capital structure of 50 percent debt and 50 percent equity, a 9.5 percent return on equity and a 7.06 percent overall rate of return for electric operations. See Exhibit MJM-1 Schedule 1.1. I have used his recommendations in developing my recommended KU revenue requirement.

¹ Staffieri Testimony, page 2 to 3.

1		Proposed Accounting Adjustments
2	Q:	Do you have individual accounting adjustments to the Company's revenue
3		requirement filing?
4	A:	Yes. I will discuss each adjustment below. My discussions will cite to any
5		exhibits necessary for an understanding of the adjustments. However, I have
6		incorporated all of the actual adjustments as Schedules to Exhibit MJM-1.
7	<u>Adju</u>	stment No. 2 - Eliminate Weather Normalization Adjustment
8	Q.	Please explain this adjustment.
9	A.	The AG has consistently disagreed with this type of adjustment for electric
10		companies in prior cases. For consistency it also disagrees in the case. Mr.
11		Watkins provides more explanation of the adjustment. Adjustment No. 2 is
. 2		included on my Exhibit MJM-1 Schedule 1.2.
13	Adiu	stment No. 3 - Apply Storm Damage and Other Regulatory Assets to
14		latory Liability for Accrued Asset Removal Costs
15 16	Q.	Please explain Adjustment No. 3.
17	A.	Witness Scott increased operating expenses by \$2.5 million and \$11.4 million for
18		the regulatory assets approved by the Commission for the 2008 and 2009 storms.
19	Q.	Do you disagree with Ms Scott's figures?
20	A.	I agree with Ms Scott's figures, I disagree with her attempt to increase charges to
21		ratepayers for these amounts; such increases are not necessary.
22	Q.	Explain why it is not necessary to increase charges to ratepayers for
23		commission-approved regulatory assets.

² Scott Testimony, page 7.

A. It is not necessary because ratepayers have already prefunded these costs. Exhibit MJM-2 demonstrates that KU reports a \$329.4 million regulatory liability for accrued asset removal costs. This regulatory liability represents money collected from ratepayers to provide for cost of removal expense in excess of its actual cost of removal expenses. In other words, KU did not use it for its intended purposes. In fact, KU continues to collect excess removal costs through the commission-approved depreciation rates; hence the regulatory liability will continue to grow. It does not make any sense to increase charges to ratepayers for storm damages when KU has already collected \$329.4 million and will continue to collect such money. KU should apply the commission-approved Storm Damage Regulatory Assets and related amounts to KU's Cost of Removal Regulatory Liability. Exhibit MJM-1 Schedules 1.3.1 and 1.3.2 show these adjustments.

- Q. Are there any other reasons the ratepayers should be concerned about that money?
- A. Yes, KU's Cost of Removal Regulatory Liability is likely to disappear when KU begins accounting under the new International Financial Accounting Standards ("IFRS"). That is because, when KU adopts IFRS, it will reduce the huge regulatory liability to its present value. It will transfer the entire excess to its equity account and then, should the Commission try to get the ratepayers' money back, KU will claim the Commission is unlawfully taking its money. That will be difficult to challenge when the entire world accounting profession will maintain that it should be in KU's equity account.

_2

³ Charnas Response to AG-171.

1	Q.	Has KU increased its revenue requirement for any other regulatory assets?
2	A.	Yes, KU has increased its revenue requirement for the Commission-approved
3		regulatory relating to KU's investments in the Kentucky Consortium for Carbon
4		Storage ("KCCS") and the Carbon Management Resources Group ("CMRG").
5	Q.	What do you recommend concerning these other regulatory assets?
6	A.	They too should be applied to KU's Cost of Removal Regulatory Liability.
7	Q.	Do you have Exhibits showing these adjustments?
8	A.	Yes, Exhibit MJM -1 Schedules 1.3.3 and 1.3.4 show these adjustments.
9	<u>Adju</u>	stment 4 Consolidated Tax Adjustment
10	Q.	Please explain Adjustment 4.
11	A.	My adjustment No. 4 reduces KU's income tax expense by the average
_2		consolidated tax savings attributable to KU. I used the "effective tax rate
13		methodology" approved the Commission in Case No. 2004-00103. This
14		adjustment reflects the average tax losses, excluding regulated losses, for the two
15		years ending in 2008. I requested the 2009 figures in order to calculate a three-
16		year average, but the Company did not provide them.
17	Q.	Do you agree with consolidated tax adjustments?
18	A.	Yes, I do. In my opinion, it is incorrect to charge ratepayers for taxes that neither
19		the Company nor its parent will ultimately pay to the federal government.
20	Q.	Do you have an Exhibit showing this adjustment?
21	A.	Yes, Exhibit MJM-1 Schedule 1.4 shows the adjustment.
22	Q.	How does this adjustment affect KU's revenue requirement?
j	A.	It reduces KU's operating expenses by \$56.7 million as shown on Exhibit MJM-1

1		Schedule 1.4.1 Line 7 and it also affects the calculation of the composite income
2		tax rate and the revenue requirement conversion factor as shown on, respectively,
3		Schedules 1.4.2 Line 11 and 1.4.4 Line 11.
4	Q.	Have you included an interest synchronization adjustment?
5	A.	Yes, on Schedule 1.4.3 I have incorporated the interest synchronization
6		adjustment of the consolidated tax adjustment because it depends on the effective
7		tax rate.
8	Q.	Does this conclude your discussion of your adjustments to KU's revenue
9		requirement?
10	A.	Yes, it does.
11	Q.	What is the result of your recommendations?
.2	A.	KU proposes a \$135.3 million increase; I recommend an approximate \$13.0
13		million decrease.
14	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
15	A.	Yes, it does.

							Exhibit MJM-1
							TKUIDIE IAIDIAI-T
	KENTUCKY UTILITIES						
	Adjustments to Operating Revenues, Operating Expenses and Net Operat	ting Income					
	For the Twelve Month. Ended October 31, 2009					Company	AG
		C	D - f	0	Operating	Net Operating	Net Operating
Rives Exhibit 1		Sponsoring Witness	Reference Schedule	Operating Revenues	Expenses	Income	Income
Line No.		Wichess	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
1	Jurisdictional amount per books				1,030,540,469	191,120,145	191,120,145
	Operating Adjustments						
3 4	Adjustment to eliminate unbilled revenues	Bellar	1.00	(3,744,529) 2,800,345		(3,744,529) 2,800,345	(3,744,529) 2,800,345
5	Adjustment to eliminate Merger Surcredit Adjustment to eliminate Value Delivery Surcredit	Bellar Bellar	1.01	2,800,345		2,800,343	2,600,343
6	To adjust mismatch in fuel cost recovery	Conroy	1.03	(49,848,679)	(42,231,035)	(7,617,644)	(7,617,644)
7	To adjust base rates and FAC 10 reflect a full year of the base rate change and FAC roll-in	Conroy Exh 3	1.04	(3,710,701)		(3,710,701)	(3,710,701)
8	Adjustment to eliminate Environmental Surcharge revenues and expenses)	Conroy Exh 3	1.05	(92,924,383)	(30,936,828)	(61,987,555)	(61,987,555)
9	To adjust base rate revenues and expenses to reflect a full year of the ECR roll-in	Conroy Exh 2	1.06	87,584,103	22,359,078	65,225,025	65,225,025
10	Off-system sales revenue adjustment for the ECR calculation	Conroy	1.07	(3,722,927)		(3,722,927)	(3,722,927)
11	To eliminate net brokered and financial swap revenues and expenses	Scott	1.08	(256,817)	(6,096)	(250,721)	(250,721)
12	To eliminate ECR, MSR, FAC, and DSM accruals	Charnas	1.09	283,654		283,654	283,654
13	To eliminate DSM revenue and expenses	Conroy	1.10	(12,940,085)	(7,500,349)	(5,439,736)	(5,439,736)
14	To reflect weather normalized electric sales margins	Seelye	1.11	2,986,579	1,489,506	1,497,073	0
15	Adjustment to annualize year-end customers	Seelye Conroy Exh 4	1.12	9,724,872	5,885,824	3,839,048	3,839,048 (186,358)
16 17	To adjust for customer billing corrections and rate switching Adjustment to revenues for late payment charge	Bellar	1.13 1.14	(186,358) 3,141,664		(186,358) 3,141,664	3,141,664
18	Adjustment to reflect annualized depreciation expenses	Charnas => Bellar	1.15	3,141,004	19,212,820	(19,212,820)	(19,212,820)
19	Adjustment to reflect increases in labor and labor related costs	Scott ≈> Rives	1.16		784,464	(784,464)	(784,464)
20	Adjustment for pension, post retirement and post employment costs	Scott => Rives					
31	Adition and to sell at the least to		1.17		(139,829)	139,829	139,829
21 22	Adjustment to reflect the increase in property insurance expense Adjustment to reflect new pollution liability insurance expense	Arbough Arbough	1.18		373,107 574,164	(373,107) (574,164)	
23	Adjustment for hazard tree program	Bellar	1.20		3,791,496	(3,791,496)	
24	Adjustment to reflect normalized storm damage expense	Scott Exh 1	1.21		(1,267,873)	1,267,873	1,267,873
25	Adjustment for injuries and damages FERC account 925	Charnas	1.22		200,710	(200,710)	
26	Adjustment to eliminate advertising expenses pursuant to Commission	Charnas	4.22		(700 434)	700 474	700 424
27	Rule 807 KAR 5:016 Adjustment for expenses related to retired mainframe	Charnas	1.23		(799,431) (843,623)	799,431 843,623	799,431 843,623
28	Adjustment for MISO Exit Fee regulatory asset	Scott => Rives	1.25		(83,909)	83,909	83,909
29	Adjustment for EKPC regulatory asset	Scott	1.26		1,785,051	(1,785,051)	(1,785,051)
30	Adjustment for 2008 Wind storm regulatory asset	Scott	1.27		2,454,286	(2,454,286)	(
31	Adjustment for 2009 Winter storm regulatory asset	Scott	1.28		11,447,352	(11,447,352)	
32 33	Adjustment for KCCS regulatory asset	Bellar	1.29		360,504	(360,504)	
34	Adjustment for CMRG regulatory asset Adjustment to reflect amortization of rate case expenses	Bellar Charnas	1.30		1,940 595,187	(1,940) (595,187)	(595,187)
35	Adjustment for Southwest Power Pool settlement expenses	Bellar	1.32		(896,454)		896,454
36	Adjustment to remove out of period adjustment for resettlements	Scott			(355),517	333/13	
	related to MISO RSG		1.33		(510,123)		510,123
37	Adjustment to reflect expiration of OMU contnict	Bellar	1.34		(15,673,235)		15,673,235
38 39	Adjustment for reversal of OMU uncollectible account expense	Scott	1.35		1,754,505	(1,754,505)	(1,754,505)
40	Adjustment to remove reserve margin demand purchases Adjustment to expenses for 2003 Ice storm amortization	Bellar Scott	1.36 1.37	-	(1,339,238)	1,339,238 527,718	1,339,238 527,718
41	To adjust property tax expense	Miller	1.38		1,199,643	(1,199,643)	
	AG Consolidated Tax Adjustment Schedule 1.4.1	1		1		(2)237/2.27	56,746,683
42	These adjustments left intentionally blank		1.39 - 1.40				
43	Total operating adjustments			(60,813,220)	(28,486,104)	(32,327,116)	37,186,576
44	Federal and state income taxes corresponding to base revenue and	36.9264%	 			1	T
	expense adjustments and above adjustments -		1.41		(11,937,233)	11,937,233	
<u></u>	AG federal and state income taxes -	11.8882%		ļ	-		4,420,817
45	Federal and state income taxes corresponding to annualization and adjustment of year-end interest expense		1.42		(548,031)	548,031	830,070
46	Prior income tax true-ups and adjustments	 	1.43		1,126,171	(1,126,171)	
47	Adjustment for domestic production activities deduction		1.44		(457,757)		
48	Adjustment for tax basis depreciation reduction		1.45	5	1,442,607	(1,442,607)	
49	This adjustment left intentionally blank		1.46	5		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
50	Total adjustments		 		(38,860,347)		
51	Adjusted Net Operating Income				991,680,122	169,167,272	227,025,769
		1					
Discour			 		 	-	
Rives	•		1	 	 	 	
Exhibit 8			1	1			
						3,054,543,620	3,054,543.620
Exhibit 8 Line No. 1 2	. Adjusted Kentucky Jurisdictional Capitalization (Rives Exh. 2, Col. 13) Total Cost of Capital (8.32% Co.) and 7.06% AG - Schedule 1.1					8.32%	7.06%
Exhibit 8 Line No. 1 2 3	Adjusted Kentucky Jurisdictional Capitalization (Rives Exh. 2, Col. 13) Total Cost of Capital (8.32% Co.) and 7.06% AG - Schedule 1.1 Net Operating Income (Line 1 x Line 2)			*		8.32% 254,138,029	7.069 215,650,780
Exhibit 8 Line No. 1 2 3 4	Adjusted Kentucky Jurisdictional Capitalization (Rives Exh. 2, Col. 13) Total Cost of Capital (8.32% Co.) and 7.06% AG - Schedule 1.1 Net Operating Income (Line 1 x Line 2) Pro-forma Net Operating Income - Line 51, above					8.32% 254,138,029 169,167,272	7.069 215,650,780 227,025,769
Exhibit 8 Line No. 1 2 3	Adjusted Kentucky Jurisdictional Capitalization (Rives Exh. 2, Col. 13) Total Cost of Capital (8.32% Co.) and 7.06% AG - Schedule 1.1 Net Operating Income (Line 1 x Line 2)					8.32% 254,138,029	7.06% 215,650,780 227,025,769 (11,374,990

Exhibit MJM-1
Schedule 1.1

Electric Utility Operations

Capitalization at October 31, 2009

	Capitalization	Cost	Weighted
Capital Source	Ratio*	Rate_	Cost Rate
Short-Term Debt	0.00%	0.22%	0.00%
Long-Term Debt	50.00%	4.61%	2.31%
Common Equity	50.00%	9.50%	4.75%
Total	100.00%		7.06%

Adjustment to Reflect Weather Normalized Electric Sales Margins <u>For the Twelve Months Ended October 31, 2009</u>

	C	ompany	AG		
1. Revenue adjustment	\$	2,986,579	\$	-	
2. Expense adjustment		1,489,506		-	
3. Net adjustment	\$	1,497,073	\$	_	

Adjustment for 2008 Wind Storm Regulatory Asset For the Twelve Months Ended October 31, 2009

1. Kentucky Jurisdictional 2008 Wind Storm Regulatory Asset	\$	Company 2,195,516	\$	AG	-
2. Amortization period in years		5			0
3. Amortization per year	\$	439,103	\$		-
4. Amortization recorded in test year		-			-
5. Reverse net credits during the test year to establish the regulatory asset	_\$	2,015,183	\$		
6. Total Adjustment	_\$	2,454,286	_\$		-

Adjustment for 2009 Winter Storm Regulatory Asset For the Twelve Months Ended October 31, 2009

	Company	AG
1. Kentucky Jurisdictional 2009 Winter Storm Regulatory Asset	\$ 57,253,874	\$ -
2. Adjustment to 2009 Winter Storm Regulatory Asset made in Nov '09	(17,115)	-
3. Subtotal	\$ 57,236,759	\$ -
4. Amortization period in years	 5	 0
5. Amortization per year	\$ 11,447,352	\$ -
6. Amortization recorded in test year	 -	 -
7. Total Adjustment	\$ 11,447,352	\$ -

Adjustment for KCCS Regulatory Asset For the Twelve Months Ended October 31, 2009

	C	ompany		AG
1. KCCS Regulatory Asset recorded as of 10/31/2009	\$	807,697	\$	-
2. KCCS payment made December 2009		114,263		-
3. Total KCCS Regulatory Asset at 12/31/2009	\$	921,960	\$	-
4. Amortization period in years		44		0
5. Adjustment for annual amortization	\$	230,490	\$	-
6. Reverse credit for reclass to regulatory asset	***************************************	130,014	•	0
7. Adjustment for annual amortization	\$	360,504	\$	

Adjustment for CMRG Regulatory Asset For the Twelve Months Ended October 31, 2009

	Co	ompany		AG
1. CMRG Regulatory Asset	\$	2,000,000	\$	-
2. Company Allocation		51.22%		-
3. CMRG Regulatory Asset	\$	1,024,400	\$	
4. Amortization period in years		10		0_
5. Amortization per year	\$	102,440	\$	
6. Expense recorded during test year	****	100,500	***************************************	0_
7. Total Adjustment (Line 5 - Line 6)	\$	1,940		-

KU & LG&E Consolidated Tax Savings and Effective Tax Rate

•		<u> </u>				
	KU		2007	2008	2009	2 Year Average
1	Positive Taxable Income					
2	KU Taxable Income					
3	Percent KU			7		
4	Tax Losses					
5	Tax Losses Allocated to KU					
6	2 Year Average		1.			
	Federal Income Taxes					
7	@35%	0.35				
8	Effective tax rate					
	•					
	LG&E		2007	2008	2009	2 Year Average
9	Positive Taxable Income					
10	LG&E Taxable Income		was membership and			CANDON CONTRACTOR
11	Percent LG&E					
12	Tax Losses		52156550043505			
13	Tax Losses Allocated to LG&E					
14	2 Year Average					
	Federal Income Taxes					
15	@35%	0.35				
16	Effective tax rate					

Source: Response to AG 1-57

Calculation of Composite Federal and Kentucky Income Tax Rate (Based on Law in Effect January 1, 2010)

ADDISECT ON LAW IN DITECT ORNIGHT Y 15	2010)	Company	AG
1. Assume pre-tax income of		100.000000	100.000000
2. State income tax at 6.00%		5.695585348	5.695585348
3. Taxable income for Federal income tax before production of Production Rate Allocation to Production Income Allocated Production Rate	deduction 0.09 0.5973 0.0538	94.304415	94.304415
4. Less: Production tax deduction (5.38% of Line 3)	0.0330	5.073577527	5.073577527
5. Taxable income for Federal income tax (Line 3 - Line 4)		89.23083747	89.23083747
6. Federal income tax at 35% (Line 5 x 35%)		31.23079312	6.192620121
7. Total State and Federal income taxes (Line 2 + Line 6)		36.92637846	11.88820547
 8. Therefore, the composite rate is: 9. Federal 10. State 11. Total 		0.31230793 0.05695585 0.36926378	0.061926201 0.056955853 0.118882055
State Income Tax Calculation			
1. Assume pre-tax income of		100.000000	100.000000
2. Less: Production tax deduction		5.073577527	5.073577527
3. Taxable income for State income tax		94.926422	94.926422
4. State Tax Rate		0.06	0.06
5. State Income Tax		5.695585348	5.695585348

Calculation of Current Tax Adjustment Resulting From "Interest Synchronization"

	Company	AG
1. Adjusted Jurisdictional Capitalization - Exhibit 2	\$ 3,054,543,620	\$ 3,054,543,620
2. Weighted Cost of Debt - Exhibit 2	0.0213	0.0231
3. "Interest Synchronization"	65,061,779	70,559,958
4. Kentucky Jurisdictional Interest per books (excluding other interest)	63,577,661	63,577,661
5. "Interest Synchronization" adjustment (Line 4 - 3)	(1,484,118)	(6,982,296)
6. Composite Federal and State tax rate	0.36926378	0.118882055
7. Current tax adjustment from "Interest Synchronization"	\$ (548,031)	\$ (830,070)

Calculation of Revenue Gross Up Factor (Based on Law in Effect January 1, 2010)

	Company	AG
1. Assume pre-tax income of	100.000000	100.000000
2. Bad Debt at .2800%	0.280000	0.280000
3. PSC Assessment at .1538%	0.153800	0.153800
4. Production Tax Credit (Reference Schedule 1.41)	5.073577527	5.073577527
5. Taxable income for State income tax	94.492622	94.492622
6. State income tax at 6.00%	5.669557	5.669557
7. Taxable income for Federal income tax	88.823065	88.823065
8. Federal income tax at (35% Co.) and 6.94 % SK	31.08807279	6.164320711
9. Total Bad Debt, PSC Assessment, State and Federal income taxes (Line 2 + Line 3 + Line 6 + Line 8)	37.191430	12.267678
10. Assume pre-tax income of	100.000000	100.000000
11. Gross Up Revenue Factor	62.808570	87.732322

Response to Question No. 171
Page 1 of 2
Charnas

KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY

CASE NO. 2009-00548

Response to Attorney General's Initial Requests for Information Dated March 1, 2010

Question No. 171

Responding Witness: Shannon L. Charnas

- Q-171. Provide an analysis of the regulatory liability for accrued asset removal costs since inception identifying and explaining each debit and credit entry and amount. Also, provide the copies of the pages from each of KU's SEC Form 10Ks, Form 10Qs and Annual Reports in which SFAS No. 143 was ever mentioned, whether or not KU had quantified an amount of the regulatory liability at the time. Specify the exact date each of these reports was issued and released to the public.
- A-171. Please see the following table for an analysis of the regulatory liability for accrued asset removal cost since inception:

Regulatory Liability Balance 12/31/03	\$ (256,744,263)
Depreciation	(18,825,793)
Net Cost of Removal Charges	8,765,059
Regulatory Liability Balance 12/31/04	(266,804,997)
Depreciation	(19,794,852)
Net Cost of Removal Charges	4,101,461
Reclass of COR to Regulatory Liability from Life Reserves	1,569,312
Regulatory Liability Balance 12/31/05	(280,929,076)
Depreciation	(19,785,945)
Net Cost of Removal Charges	3,401,885
Regulatory Liability Balance 12/31/06	(297,313,136)
Depreciation	(20,646,337)
Net Cost of Removal Charges	8,032,396
Regulatory Liability Balance 12/31/07	(309,927,077)
Depreciation	(23,611,534)
Net Cost of Removal Charges	4,797,840
Regulatory Liability Balance 12/31/08	(328,740,771)
Depreciation	(15,468,045)
Net Cost of Removal Charges	14,843,796
Regulatory Liability Balance 10/31/09	\$ (329,365,020)

Response to Question No. 171
Page 2 of 2
Charnas

For copies of pages referencing SFAS No. 143¹ from LG&E's SEC Form 10Ks, Form 10Qs and Annual Reports, see the CD provided, in the folder titled Question No. 171. The following table specifies the date these reports were released:

<u>Document</u>	Released Date
2008 LG&E Annual Report	03/24/09
2008 KU Annual Report	03/24/09
2007 LG&E Annual Report	03/20/08
2007 KU Annual Report	03/20/08
2006 LG&E 10-K	03/21/07
2006 KU Annual Report	03/29/07
2006 LG&E and KU 10-Q, quarter ended 3/31/06	05/04/06
2005 LG&E and KU 10-K	03/30/06
2005 LG&E and KU 10-Q, quarter ended 9/30/05	11/10/05
2005 LG&E and KU 10-Q, quarter ended 6/30/05	08/12/05
2005 LG&E and KU 10-Q, quarter ended 3/31/05	05/13/05
2004 LG&E and KU 10-K	03/30/05
2003 LG&E and KU 10-K	03/30/04
2003 LG&E and KU 10-Q, quarter ended 9/30/03	11/13/03
2003 LG&E and KU 10-Q, quarter ended 6/30/03	08/13/03
2003 LG&E and KU 10-Q, quarter ended 3/31/03	05/14/03
2002 LG&E and KU 10-K	03/25/03
2002 LG&E and KU 10-Q, quarter ended 9/30/02	11/14/02
2002 LG&E and KU 10-Q, quarter ended 6/30/02	08/14/02
2002 LG&E and KU 10-Q, quarter ended 3/31/02	05/14/02
2001 LG&E and KU 10-K	03/28/02
2001 LG&E and KU 10-Q, quarter ended 3/31/01	11/14/01

¹ The guidance in SFAS No. 143 is now contained in FASB Accounting Standards Codification Topic 410, adopted effective September 30, 2009.

Experience

Snavely King Majoros O'Connor & Bedell, Inc.

Vice President and Treasurer (1988 to Present) Senior Consultant (1981-1987)

Mr. Majoros provides consultation specializing in accounting, financial, and management issues. He has testified as an expert witness or negotiated on behalf of clients in more than one hundred thirty regulatory federal and state regulatory proceedings involving telephone, electric, gas, water, and sewerage companies. His testimony has encompassed a wide array of complex issues including taxation, divestiture accounting, revenue requirements, rate base, nuclear decommissioning, plant lives, and capital recovery. Majoros has also provided consultation to the U.S. Department of Justice and appeared before the U.S. EPA and the Maryland State Legislature on matters regarding the accounting and plant life effects of electric plant modifications and the financial capacity of public utilities to finance environmental controls. He has estimated economic damages suffered by black farmers in discrimination suits.

Van Scoyoc & Wiskup, Inc., Consultant (1978-1981)

Mr. Majoros conducted and assisted in various management and regulatory consulting projects in the public utility field, including preparation of electric system load projections for a group of municipally and cooperatively owned electric systems; preparation of a system of accounts and reporting of gas and oil pipelines to be used by a state regulatory commission; accounting system analysis and design for rate proceedings involving electric, gas, and telephone utilities. Mr. Majoros provided onsite management accounting and controllership assistance to a municipal electric and water utility. Mr. Majoros also assisted in an antitrust proceeding involving a major electric utility. He submitted expert testimony in FERC Docket No. RP79-12 (El Paso Natural Gas Company), and he co-authored a study entitled Analysis of Staff Study on Comprehensive Tax Normalization that was submitted to FERC in Docket No. RM 80-42.

Handling Equipment Sales Company, Inc. *Controlled Treasurer* (1976-1978)

Mr. Majoros' responsibilities included financial management, general accounting and reporting, and income taxes.

Ernst & Ernst, Auditor (1973-1976)

Mr. Majoros was a member of the audit staff where his responsibilities included auditing, supervision, business systems analysis, report preparation, and corporate income taxes.

University of Baltimore - (1971-1973)

Mr. Majoros was a full-time student in the School of Business.

During this period Mr. Majoros worked consistently on a parttime basis in the following positions: Assistant Legislative Auditor – State of Maryland, Staff Accountant – Robert M. Carney & Co., CPA's, Staff Accountant – Naron & Wegad, CPA's, Credit Clerk – Montgomery Wards.

Central Savings Bank, (1969-1971)

Mr. Majoros was an Assistant Branch Manager at the time he left the bank to attend college as a full-time student. During his tenure at the bank, Mr. Majoros gained experience in each department of the bank. In addition, he attended night school at the University of Baltimore.

Education

University of Baltimore, School of Business, B.S. – Concentration in Accounting

Professional Affiliations

American Institute of Certified Public Accountants Maryland Association of C.P.A.s Society of Depreciation Professionals

Publications, Papers, and Panels

"Analysis of Staff Study on Comprehensive Tax Normalization," FERC Docket No. RM 80-42, 1980.

"Telephone Company Deferred Taxes and Investment Tax Credits – A Capital Loss for Ratepayers," Public Utility Fortnightly, September 27, 1984.

"The Use of Customer Discount Rates in Revenue Requirement Comparisons," Proceedings of the 25th Annual Iowa State Regulatory Conference, 1986

"The Regulatory Dilemma Created By Emerging Revenue Streams of Independent Telephone Companies," Proceedings of NARUC 101st Annual Convention and Regulatory Symposium, 1989.

"BOC Depreciation Issues in the States," National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates, 1990 Mid-Year Meeting, 1990.

"Current Issues in Capital Recovery" 30th Annual Iowa State Regulatory Conference, 1991.

"Impaired Assets Under SFAS No. 121," National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates, 1996 Mid-Year Meeting, 1996.

"What's 'Sunk' Ain't Stranded: Why Excessive Utility Depreciation is Avoidable," with James Campbell, Public Utilities Fortnightly, April 1, 1999.

"Local Exchange Carrier Depreciation Reserve Percents," with Richard B. Lee, Journal of the Society of Depreciation Professionals, Volume 10, Number 1, 2000-2001

"Rolling Over Ratepayers," Public Utilities Fortnightly, Volume 143, Number 11, November, 2005.

"Asset Management – What is it?," American Water Works Association, Pre-Conference Workshop, March 25, 2008.

<u>Date</u>	Jurisdiction / Agency	<u>Docket</u>	<u>Utility</u>
	- Constitution of the Cons	Federal Courts	
2005	US District Court, Northern District of AL, Northwestern Division 55/56/57/	CV 01-B-403-NW	Tennessee Valley Authority

State Legislatures

2006	Maryland General	SB154	Maryland Healthy Air Act
	Assembly 61/		
2006	Maryland House of	HB189	Maryland Healthy Air Act
	Delegates 62/		

Federal Regulatory Agencies

1979	FERC-US <u>19</u> /	RP79-12	El Paso Natural Gas Co.
1980	FERC-US <u>19</u> /	RM80-42	Generic Tax Normalization
1996	CRTC-Canada 30/	97-9	All Canadian Telecoms
1997	CRTC-Canada 31/	97-11	All Canadian Telecoms
1999	FCC <u>32</u> /	98-137 (Ex Parte)	All LECs
1999	FCC <u>32</u> /	98-91 (Ex Parte)	All LECs
1999	FCC <u>32</u> /	98-177 (Ex Parte)	All LECs
1999	FCC <u>32</u> /	98-45 (Ex Parte)	All LECs
2000	EPA <u>35</u> /	CAA-00-6	Tennessee Valley Authority
2003	FERC <u>48</u> /	RM02-7	All Utilities
2003	FCC <u>52</u> /	03-173	All LECs
2003	FERC <u>53</u> /	ER03-409-000,	Pacific Gas and Electric Co.
		ER03-666-000	

State Regulatory Agencies

1982	Massachusetts 17/	DPU 557/558	Western Mass Elec. Co.
1982	Illinois <u>16</u> /	ICC81-8115	Illinois Bell Telephone Co.
1983	Maryland 8/	7574-Direct	Baltimore Gas & Electric Co.
1983	Maryland <u>8</u> /	7574-Surrebuttal	Baltimore Gas & Electric Co.
1983	Connecticut 15/	810911	Woodlake Water Co.
1983	New Jersey 1/	815-458	New Jersey Bell Tel. Co.
1983	New Jersey 14/	8011-827	Atlantic City Sewerage Co.
1984	Dist. Of Columbia 7/	785	Potomac Electric Power Co.
1984	Maryland <u>8</u> /	7689	Washington Gas Light Co.
1984	Dist. Of Columbia 7/	798	C&P Tel. Co.
1984	Pennsylvania <u>13</u> /	R-832316	Bell Telephone Co. of PA
1984	New Mexico 12/	1032	Mt. States Tel. & Telegraph
1984	Idaho <u>18</u> /	U-1000-70	Mt. States Tel. & Telegraph

1984	Colorado 11/	1655	Mt. States Tel. & Telegraph
1984	Dist. Of Columbia 7/	813	Potomac Electric Power Co.
1984	Pennsylvania <u>3</u> /	R842621-R842625	Western Pa. Water Co.
1985	Maryland <u>8</u> /	7743	Potomac Edison Co.
1985	New Jersey 1/	848-856	New Jersey Bell Tel. Co.
1985	Maryland <u>8</u> /	7851	C&P Tel. Co.
1985	California <u>10</u> /	1-85-03-78	Pacific Bell Telephone Co.
1985	Pennsylvania <u>3</u> /	R-850174	Phila. Suburban Water Co.
1985	Pennsylvania <u>3</u> /	R850178	Pennsylvania Gas & Water Co.
1985	Pennsylvania <u>3</u> /	R-850299	General Tel. Co. of PA
1986	Maryland <u>8</u> /	7899	Delmarva Power & Light Co.
1986	Maryland <u>8</u> /	7754	Chesapeake Utilities Corp.
1986	Pennsylvania <u>3</u> /	R-850268	York Water Co.
1986	Maryland 8/	7953	Southern Md. Electric Corp.
1986	Idaho <u>9</u> /	U-1002-59	General Tel. Of the Northwest
1986	Maryland <u>8</u> /	7973	Baltimore Gas & Electric Co.
1987	Pennsylvania <u>3</u> /	R-860350	Dauphin Cons. Water Supply
1987	Pennsylvania <u>3</u> /	C-860923	Bell Telephone Co. of PA
1987	lowa <u>6</u> /	DPU-86-2	Northwestern Bell Tel. Co.
1987	Dist. Of Columbia 7/	842	Washington Gas Light Co.
1988	Florida <u>4</u> /	880069-TL	Southern Bell Telephone
1988	lowa 6/	RPU-87-3	Iowa Public Service Company
1988	lowa 6/	RPU-87-6	Northwestern Bell Tel. Co.
1988	Dist. Of Columbia 7/	869	Potomac Electric Power Co.
1989	lowa <u>6</u> /	RPU-88-6	Northwestern Bell Tel. Co.
1990	New Jersey 1/	1487-88	Morris City Transfer Station
1990	New Jersey 5/	WR 88-80967	Toms River Water Company
1990	Florida 4/	890256-TL	Southern Bell Company
1990	New Jersey 1/	ER89110912J	Jersey Central Power & Light
1990	New Jersey 1/	WR90050497J	Elizabethtown Water Co.
1991	Pennsylvania 3/	P900465	United Tel. Co. of Pa.
1991	West Virginia 2/	90-564-T-D	C&P Telephone Co.
1991	New Jersey 1/	90080792J	Hackensack Water Co.
1991	New Jersey 1/	WR90080884J	Middlesex Water Co.
1991	Pennsylvania <u>3</u> /	R-911892	Phil. Suburban Water Co.
1991	Kansas 20/	176, 716-U	Kansas Power & Light Co.
1991	Indiana 29/	39017	Indiana Bell Telephone
1991	Nevada 21/	91-5054	Central Tele. Co. – Nevada
1992	New Jersey 1/	EE91081428	Public Service Electric & Gas
1992	Maryland 8/	8462	C&P Telephone Co.
1992	West Virginia 2/	91-1037-E-D	Appalachian Power Co.
1993	Maryland <u>8</u> /	8464	Potomac Electric Power Co.
1993	South Carolina 22/	92-227-C	Southern Bell Telephone
1993	Maryland 8/	8485	Baltimore Gas & Electric Co.
1993	Georgia 23/	4451-U	Atlanta Gas Light Co.

1993	New Jersey 1/	GR93040114	New Jersey Natural Gas. Co.
1994	lowa <u>6</u> /	RPU-93-9	U.S. West – Iowa
1994	lowa 6/	RPU-94-3	Midwest Gas
1995	Delaware <u>24</u> /	94-149	Wilm. Suburban Water Corp.
1995	Connecticut 25/	94-10-03	So. New England Telephone
1995	Connecticut 25/	95-03-01	So. New England Telephone
1995	Pennsylvania <u>3</u> /	R-00953300	Citizens Utilities Company
1995	Georgia <u>23</u> /	5503-0	Southern Bell
1996	Maryland 8/	8715	Bell Atlantic
1996	Arizona 26/	E-1032-95-417	Citizens Utilities Company
1996	New Hampshire <u>27</u> /	DE 96-252	New England Telephone
1997	lowa <u>6</u> /	DPU-96-1	U S West – Iowa
1997	Ohio <u>28</u> /	96-922-TP-UNC	Ameritech – Ohio
1997	Michigan <u>28</u> /	U-11280	Ameritech – Onio Ameritech – Michigan
1997	Michigan <u>28</u> /	U-11281	GTE North
1997		7000-ztr-96-323	
1997	Wyoming <u>27</u> / lowa 6/	RPU-96-9	US West – Wyoming US West – Iowa
1997	Illinois 28/	96-0486-0569	
1997			Ameritech - Illinois
1997	Indiana 28/	40611 40734	Ameritech – Indiana GTE North
1997	Indiana <u>27</u> / Utah 27/	97-049-08	
1997		7061-U	US West - Utah
1997	Georgia <u>28</u> / Connecticut 25/		BellSouth – Georgia
1997		96-04-07	So. New England Telephone
1998	Florida <u>28/</u> Illinois <u>27/</u>	960833-TP et. al.	BellSouth – Florida
1998		97-0355	GTE North/South
1999	Michigan 33/	U-11726	Detroit Edison
	Maryland 8/	8794	Baltimore Gas & Electric Co.
1999	Maryland 8/	8795	Delmarva Power & Light Co.
1999	Maryland 8/	8797	Potomac Edison Company
1999	West Virginia 2/	98-0452-E-GI	Electric Restructuring
1999	Delaware <u>24</u> /	98-98	United Water Company
1999	Pennsylvania 3/	R-00994638	Pennsylvania American Water
1999	West Virginia 2/	98-0985-W-D	West Virginia American Water
1999	Michigan 33/	U-11495	Detroit Edison
2000	Delaware <u>24</u> /	99-466	Tidewater Utilities
2000	New Mexico 34/	3008	US WEST Communications, Inc.
2000	Florida 28/	990649-TP	BellSouth -Florida
2000	New Jersey 1/	WR30174	Consumer New Jersey Water
2000	Pennsylvania 3/	R-00994868	Philadelphia Suburban Water
2000	Pennsylvania <u>3</u> /	R-0005212	Pennsylvania American Sewerage
2000	Connecticut 25/	00-07-17	Southern New England Telephone
2001	Kentucky 36/	2000-373	Jackson Energy Cooperative
2001	Kansas <u>38/39/40/</u>	01-WSRE-436-RTS	Western Resources
2001	South Carolina 22/	2001-93-E	Carolina Power & Light Co.
2001	North Dakota <u>37</u> /	PU-400-00-521	Northern States Power/Xcel Energy

2001	Indiana <u>29/41</u> /	41746	Northern Indiana Power Company
2001	New Jersey 1/	GR01050328	Public Service Electric and Gas
2001	Pennsylvania <u>3</u> /	R-00016236	York Water Company
2001	Pennsylvania <u>3</u> /	R-00016339	Pennsylvania America Water
2001	Pennsylvania <u>3</u> /	R-00016356	Wellsboro Electric Coop.
2001	Florida 4/	010949-EL	Gulf Power Company
2001	Hawaii 42/	00-309	The Gas Company
2001	Pennsylvania <u>3/</u>	R-00016750	Philadelphia Suburban
2002	Nevada 43/	01-10001 &10002	
2002	Kentucky 36/	2001-244	Nevada Power Company
2002	Nevada 43/	01-11031	Fleming Mason Electric Coop.
2002		14361-U	Sierra Pacific Power Company
2002	Georgia 27/ Alaska 44/		BellSouth-Georgia
2002		U-01-34,82-87,66	Alaska Communications Systems
2002	Wisconsin 45/ Wisconsin 45/	2055-TR-102	CenturyTel
2002		5846-TR-102	
2002	Vermont 46/	6596 PU-399-02-183	Citizen's Energy Services
	North Dakota 37/		Montana Dakota Utilities
2002	Kansas 40/	02-MDWG-922-RTS	Midwest Energy
2002	Kentucky 36/	2002-00145	Columbia Gas
2002	Oklahoma 47/	200200166	Reliant Energy ARKLA
2002	New Jersey 1/	GR02040245	Elizabethtown Gas Company
2003	New Jersey 1/	ER02050303	Public Service Electric and Gas Co.
2003	Hawaii 42/	01-0255	Young Brothers Tug & Barge
2003	New Jersey 1/	ER02080506	Jersey Central Power & Light
2003	New Jersey 1/	ER02100724	Rockland Electric Co.
2003	Pennsylvania 3/	R-00027975	The York Water Co.
2003	Pennsylvania /3	R-00038304	Pennsylvania-American Water Co.
2003	Kansas 20/ 40/	03-KGSG-602-RTS	Kansas Gas Service
2003	Nova Scotia, CN 49/	EMO NSPI	Nova Scotia Power, Inc.
2003	Kentucky 36/	2003-00252	Union Light Heat & Power
2003	Alaska 44/	U-96-89	ACS Communications, Inc.
2003	Indiana 29/	42359	PSI Energy, Inc.
2003	Kansas 20/ 40/	03-ATMG-1036-RTS	Atmos Energy
2003	Florida 50/	030001-E1	Tampa Electric Company
2003	Maryland 51/	8960	Washington Gas Light
2003	Hawaii 42/	02-0391	Hawaiian Electric Company
2003	Illinois 28/	02-0864	SBC Illinois
2003	Indiana 28/	42393	SBC Indiana
2004	New Jersey 1/	ER03020110	Atlantic City Electric Co.
2004	Arizona 26/	E-01345A-03-0437	Arizona Public Service Company
2004	Michigan 27/	U-13531	SBC Michigan
2004	New Jersey 1/	GR03080683	South Jersey Gas Company
2004	Kentucky 36/	2003-00434,00433	Kentucky Utilities, Louisville Gas & Electric
2004	Florida 50/ 54/	031033-EI	Tampa Electric Company

2004	Kentucky 36/	2004-00067	Delta Natural Gas Company
2004	Georgia 23/	18300, 15392, 15393	Georgia Power Company
2004	Vermont 46/	6946, 6988	Central Vermont Public Service
			Corporation
2004	Delaware 24/	04-288	Delaware Electric Cooperative
2004	Missouri 58/	ER-2004-0570	Empire District Electric Company
2005	Florida 50/	041272-EI	Progress Energy Florida, Inc.
2005	Florida 50/	041291-EI	Florida Power & Light Company
2005	California 59/	A.04-12-014	Southern California Edison Co.
2005	Kentucky 36/	2005-00042	Union Light Heat & Power
2005	Florida 50/	050045 & 050188-EI	Florida Power & Light Co.
2005	Kansas 38/ 40/	05-WSEE-981-RTS	Westar Energy, Inc.
2006	Delaware 24/	05-304	Delmarva Power & Light Company
2006	California 59/	A.05-12-002	Pacific Gas & Electric Co.
2006	New Jersey 1/	GR05100845	Public Service Electric and Gas Co.
2006	Colorado 60/	06S-234EG	Public Service Co. of Colorado
2006	Kentucky 36/	2006-00172	Union Light, Heat & Power
2006	Kansas 40/	06-KGSG-1209-RTS	Kansas Gas Service
2006	West Virginia 2/	06-0960-E-42T,	Allegheny Power
		06-1426-E-D	-
2006	West Virginia 2/	05-1120-G-30C,	Hope Gas, Inc. and Equitable
	·	06-0441-G-PC, et al.	Resources, Inc.
2007	Delaware 24/	06-284	Delmarva Power & Light Company
2007	Kentucky 36/	2006-00464	Atmos Energy Corporation
2007	Colorado 60/	06S-656G	Public Service Co. of Colorado
2007	California 59/	A.06-12-009,	San Diego Gas & Electric Co., and
		A.06-12-010	Southern California Gas Co.
2007	Kentucky 36/	2007-00143	Kentucky-American Water Co.
2007	Kentucky 36/	2007-00089	Delta Natural Gas Co.
2008	Kansas 40/	08-ATMG-280-RTS	Atmos Energy Corporation
2008	New Jersey 1/	GR07110889	New Jersey Natural Gas Co.
2008	North Dakota 37/	PU-07-776	Northern States Power/Xcel Energy
2008	Pennsylvania 3/	A-2008-2034045 et	UGI Utilities, Inc. / PPL Gas Utilities
		al	Corp.
2008	Washington 63/	UE-072300,	Puget Sound Energy
		UG-072301	
2008	Pennsylvania 3/	R-2008-2032689	Pennsylvania-American Water Co Coatesville
2008	New Jersey 1/	WR08010020	NJ American Water Co.
2008	Washington 63/ 64/	UE-080416,	Avista Corporation
2000	vvasimigion 60/ 64/	UG-080417	Avista Corporation
2008	Texas 65/	473-08-3681, 35717	Oncor Electric Delivery Co.
2008	Tennessee 66/	08-00039	Tennessee-American Water Co.
2008	Kansas	08-WSEE-1041-RTS	Westar Energy, Inc.
2009	Kentucky 36/	2008-00409	East Kentucky Power Coop.
	Northauty 00/	LUUU UUTUU	Last Northborry I OWEL OUD.

2009	Indiana 29/	43501	Duke Energy Indiana
2009	Indiana 29/	43526	Northern Indiana Public Service Co.
2009	Michigan 33/	U-15611	Consumers Energy Company
2009	Kentucky 36/	2009-00141	Columbia Gas of Kentucky
2009	New Jersey 1/	GR00903015	Elizabethtown Gas Company
2009	District of Columbia 7/	FC 1076	Potomac Electric Power

PARTICIPATION AS NEGOTIATOR IN FCC TELEPHONE DEPRECIATION RATE REPRESCRIPTION CONFERENCES

COMPANY	<u>YEARS</u>	CLIENT
Diamond State Telephone Co. 24/	1985 + 1988	Delaware Public Service Comm
Bell Telephone of Pennsylvania <u>3</u> /	1986 + 1989	PA Consumer Advocate
Chesapeake & Potomac Telephone Co Md. <u>8/</u>	1986	Maryland People's Counsel
Southwestern Bell Telephone – Kansas <u>20</u> /	1986	Kansas Corp. Commission
Southern Bell – Florida <u>4</u> /	1986	Florida Consumer Advocate
Chesapeake & Potomac Telephone CoW.Va. 2/	1987 + 1990	West VA Consumer Advocate
New Jersey Bell Telephone Co. 1/	1985 + 1988	New Jersey Rate Counsel
Southern Bell - South Carolina 22/	1986 + 1989 +	+ 1992 S. Carolina Consumer Advocate
GTE-North – Pennsylvania <u>3</u> /	1989	PA Consumer Advocate

PARTICIPATION IN PROCEEDINGS WHICH WERE SETTLED BEFORE TESTIMONY WAS SUBMITTED

STATE	DOCKET NO.	<u>UTILITY</u>
Maryland 8/	7878	Potomac Edison
Nevada <u>21</u> /	88-728	Southwest Gas
New Jersey <u>1</u> /	WR90090950J	New Jersey American Water
New Jersey 1/	WR900050497J	Elizabethtown Water
New Jersey <u>1</u> /	WR91091483	Garden State Water
West Virginia 2/	91-1037-E	Appalachian Power Co.
Nevada <u>21</u> /	92-7002	Central Telephone - Nevada
Pennsylvania <u>3</u> /	R-00932873	Blue Mountain Water
West Virginia <u>2</u> /	93-1165-E-D	Potomac Edison
West Virginia <u>2</u> /	94-0013-E-D	Monongahela Power
New Jersey <u>1</u> /	WR94030059	New Jersey American Water
New Jersey <u>1</u> /	WR95080346	Elizabethtown Water
New Jersey <u>1</u> /	WR95050219	Toms River Water Co.
Maryland <u>8</u> /	8796	Potomac Electric Power Co.
South Carolina 22/	1999-077-E	Carolina Power & Light Co.
South Carolina <u>22</u> /	1999-072-E	Carolina Power & Light Co.
Kentucky <u>36</u> /	2001-104 & 141	Kentucky Utilities, Louisville Gas and Electric
Kentucky 36/	2002-485	Jackson Purchase Energy Corporation

<u>Clients</u>

1/ New Jersey Rate Counsel/Advocate	34/ New Mexico Attorney General
<u>2</u> / West Virginia Consumer Advocate	35/ Environmental Protection Agency Enforcement Staff
<u>3</u> / Pennsylvania OCA	36/ Kentucky Attorney General
4/ Florida Office of Public Advocate	37/ North Dakota Public Service Commission
5/ Toms River Fire Commissioner's	38/ Kansas Industrial Group
6/ Iowa Office of Consumer Advocate	39/ City of Witchita
7/ D.C. People's Counsel	40/ Kansas Citizens' Utility Rate Board
8/ Maryland's People's Counsel	41/ NIPSCO Industrial Group
9/ Idaho Public Service Commission	42/ Hawaii Division of Consumer Advocacy
10/ Western Burglar and Fire Alarm	43/ Nevada Bureau of Consumer Protection
11/ U.S. Dept. of Defense	4 <u>4</u> / GCI
12/ N.M. State Corporation Comm.	45/ Wisc. Citizens' Utility Rate Board
13/ City of Philadelphia	46/ Vermont Department of Public Service
14/ Resorts International	47/ Oklahoma Corporation Commission
15/ Woodlake Condominium Association	48/ National Assn. of State Utility Consumer Advocates
16/ Illinois Attorney General	49/ Nova Scotia Utility and Review Board
17/ Mass Coalition of Municipalities	50/ Florida Office of Public Counsel
8/ U.S. Department of Energy	51/ Maryland Public Service Commission
19/ Arizona Electric Power Corp.	52/ MCI
20/ Kansas Corporation Commission	53/ Transmission Agency of Northern California
21/ Public Service Comm. – Nevada	54/ Florida Industrial Power Users Group
22/ SC Dept. of Consumer Affairs	55/ Sierra Club
23/ Georgia Public Service Comm.	56/ Our Children's Earth Foundation
24/ Delaware Public Service Comm.	57/ National Parks Conservation Association, Inc.
25/ Conn. Ofc. Of Consumer Counsel	58/ Missouri Office of the Public Counsel
26/ Arizona Corp. Commission	59/ The Utility Reform Network
<u>27</u> / AT&T	60/ Colorado Office of Consumer Counsel
<u>28</u> / AT&T/MCI	61/ MD State Senator Paul G. Pinsky
29/ IN Office of Utility Consumer	62/ MD Speaker of the House Michael Busch
Counselor	
30/ Unitel (AT&T – Canada)	63/ Washington Office of Public Counsel
31/ Public Interest Advocacy Centre	64/ Industrial Customers of Northwestern Utilities
32/ U.S. General Services Administration	65/ Steering Committee of Cities
33/ Michigan Attorney General	66/ City of Chattanooga

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:	
APPLICATION OF KENTUCKY UTILITIES) COMPANY FOR AN ADJUSTMENT OF) CASE NO. 2009-00548 BASE RATES)	
AFFIDAVIT OF MICHAEL MAJOROS	
Commonwealth of Virginia))	
Michael Majoros, being first duly sworn, states the following: The prepared Pre-Filed Direct Testimony, and the Schedules and Appendix attaches thereto constitute the direct testimony of Affiant in the above-styled case. Affias states that he would give the answers set forth in the Pre-Filed Direct Testimor if asked the questions propounded therein. Affiant further states that, to the best of his knowledge, his statements made are true and correct. Further affiant sait not. Michael Majoros	ini iy est
SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 216 day of April 2010 NOTARY PUBLIC	0 .

My Commission Expire My Comm. Exps. 2010